PIP PERFORMANCE DATA - May - July 2006

FIF FERFORMANCE DATA - May - July 2000													
Outcome Report Measures*	PIP Item	FY 2006-1				Q-2 Average Performance	•	•	•	Q-6 Average Performance	Raseline	Goal	
# 1. Timeliness of initial			000 _								Baseinie	Jour	
child contact	1	81.3%	84.5%	84.4%	80.7%	79.4%	80.6%	81.9%	83.0%	82.9%	76.6%	80.4%	
# 3. Reduce reoccurrence of abuse (CA/N Recidisvism) 6.1%												NCANDS	Based on quarterly Outcome report
## B. I	2	4.8%	5.0%	4.4%	3.9%	5.1%	4.9%	5.1%	4.8%	4.6%	8.3%	GOAL OF 7.4%	performance not NCANDS formula
#4. Reduce incidence of child abuse in foster care													
(Goal achieved) .57%	2	0.53%	0.56%	0.60%	0.47%	0.53%	0.51%	0.51%	0.53%	0.54%	0.37%	0.57%	Passed
#10. Children returning home by length of time till reunification 76.2%		00.00	74.00/	CD 407	74 70/	70.0%	C0 704	60.6%	C0 48/	CO 70/	50.00%	AFCARS GOAL OF	Based on quarterly Outcome report performance not AFCARS
#11. Children exiting CD	8	68.0%	71.6%	68.1%	71.7%	70.2%	68.7%	68.6%	69.1%	69.7%	59.8%	62.2%	formula
custody to adoption by length of time till adoption													
# 12. Children with timely	9	41.8%	38.6%	48.0%	39.7%	39.2%	39.5%	39.8%	41.1%	41.8%	38.5%	32.0%	Passed
completion of FSTM w/i 30 days and 6 months	26	53.8%	48.0%	68.6%	74.8%	62.3%	59.7%	56.3%	57.9%	61.0%	62.8%	69.0%	
#13A. Reduce the number of placements of children in foster care (Children in care less than 12 months) 86.7%		75.09/	7E 10/	77 20/	79 40/	72.0%	74.5%	74.09/	75 70/	76.69/	79 69/	AFCARS GOAL OF 80.5%	Based on quarterly Outcome report performance not AFCARS
#14 Reduce re-entry into	0	75.9%	75.1%	77.3%	78.4%	73.9%	74.5%	74.9%	75.7%	76.6%	78.0%	00.5%	formula
foster care 8.6%		9.3%	9.1%	10.5%	8.9%	10.4%	9.9%	9.9%	10.0%	9.4%)	8.6%	
#17. Increase the number of children placed with relative providers (LS 1-4)	15	26.9%	27.1%	27.1%	28.7%	27.7%	27.7%	27.6%	27.4%	Achieved	25.9%	27.2%	
Peer Record Review	PIP	1			•	,	Q-3 Average	Q-4 Average	Q-5 Average				
Results**	Item					Performance	•	•	Performance	•	Baseline	Goal	
IV-8. The family participated in the development and signed the service plan.	18 25	73.9%											
IV-13. Services being provided to the family are adequate to meet their needs as identified in the assessment.	3 17	88.4%				90.6%	90.0%						
IV-14. The worker visits with the family (caretakers) at least one time per month.	20	86.5%											

Peer Record Review	PIP	PIP OTR-3	PIP OTR-4	PIP OTR-5	PIP OTR-6	Q-2 Average	Q-3 Average	Q-4 Average	Q-5 Average	Q-6 Average			
Results**	Item								Performance		Baseline	Goal	
V-1. Consideration was													
given to relatives or kin for	14												
placement.	15	82.6%	82.8%	83.6%	88.9%	85.9%	84.5%	84.0%	83.4%	84.4%	83.0%	87.2%	
V-3. The child is placed in													
close proximity to their													
family.	16	89.4%	90.0%	88.4%	88.1%	90.5%	90.0%	90.1%	89.8%	89.0%	91.0%	91.9%	
V-4. Siblings are placed													
together or there is													
ongoing visitation.	12	85.2%	79.1%	83.9%	89.2%	87.6%	87.9%	85.8%	84.1%	84.2%	85.6%	89.9%	
V-12. The permanency plan													
has been developed and it													
included options for													
concurrent planning.	7	85.3%	81.3%	84.9%	83.2%	83.8%	84.4%	83.5%	83.7%	83.6%	85.9%	90.2%	
V-13. There is a current													
visitation plan in place to													
facilitate reunification.	13	84.7%	85.1%	84.9%	87.7%	85.4%	85.4%	84.5%	85.0%	85.5%	89.4%	90.3%	
V-17. The physical needs													
of the child are being met.	22	96.5%	94.9%	95.6%	95.9%	96.9%	96.7%	96.1%	95.8%	95.7%	96.9%	97.8%	
V-18. The child is at grade													
level and receiving													
appropriate educational													
services.	21	95.8%	94.4%	95.0%	94.5%	95.5%	95.3%	94.8%	94.5%	94.9%	95.6%	96.6%	
V-19. The mental health													
needs of the child are being													
met.	23	95.8%	94.6%	95.0%	94.5%	96.5%	96.3%	96.0%	95.6%	95.0%	96.4%	97.3%	
V-22. Youth 16 or older													
have an ILP plan													
documented in the case													
record (CS-1 Att.).	10	55.1%	58.3%	44.4%	46.2%	48.2%	48.8%	52.7%	51.1%	51.9%	63.6%	66.4%	
Permanency Hearings as of													
7/31/06	27	90.2%	92.3%	94.1%	94.0%	88.6%	90.2%	92.3%	Achieved	Achieved	86.3%	88.0%	
*Quarterly Outcome Reports are													
captured by state fiscal year.													
** Quarterly Peer Record Review										***************************************			
Results are reported by calendar						O							
year.										***************************************			
Pod - Dorforming halow the h	nooline			***************************************									
Red = Performing below the ba		-											
Green = Performing above bas		-		·····									
Purple = Performance exceeds	s goal												