

PIP PERFORMANCE DATA - November 2005 - January 2006

Outcome Report Measures*	PIP Item	FY 2005-3	FY 2005-4	FY 2006-1	PIP QTR-4 FY 2006-2	Q-1 Average Performance	Q-2 Average Performance	Q-3 Average Performance	Q-4 Average Performance	Baseline	Goal	
# 1. Timeliness of initial child contact	1	80.0%	81.9%	81.5%	85.0%	77.5%	79.4%	80.6%	82.0%	76.6%	80.4%	
# 3. Reduce reoccurrence of abuse (CA/N Recidivism) 6.1%	2	5.5%	4.9%	4.8%	5.1%	5.5%	5.1%	4.9%	5.1%	8.3%	7.4%	Based on quarterly Outcome report performance not NCANDS formula
#4. Reduce incidence of child abuse in foster care (Goal achieved) .57%	2	0.53%	0.44%	0.31%	0.31%	0.59%	0.53%	0.46%	0.40%	0.37%	0.57%	Passed
#10. Children returning home by length of time till reunification 76.2%	8	65.6%	69.1%	67.3%	71.4%	71.5%	70.2%	68.5%	68.2%	59.8%	62.2%	Based on quarterly Outcome report performance not AFCARS formula
#11. Children exiting CD custody to adoption by length of time till adoption 32%	9	42.0%	36.8%	42.8%	40.5%	39.8%	39.2%	39.7%	40.6%	38.5%	32.0%	Passed
# 12. Children with timely completion of FSTM w/i 30 days and 6 months	26	61.8%	61.4%	53.5%	47.2%	63.1%	62.3%	59.7%	56.0%	62.8%	69.0%	
#13A. Reduce the number of placements of children in foster care (Children in care less than 12 months) 86.7%	6	74.2%	74.5%	75.8%	75.1%	74.0%	73.9%	74.4%	74.9%	78.6%	80.5%	Based on quarterly Outcome report performance not AFCARS formula
# 17. Increase the number of children placed with relative providers (LS-1)	14	24.1%	24.2%	25.7%	25.8%	23.8%	24.0%	24.4%	25.0%	23.3%	25.6%	
#17. Increase the number of children placed with relative providers (LS 1-4)	15	28.1%	28.3%	27.7%	27.7%	27.2%	27.7%	27.9%	28.0%	25.9%	27.2%	
Peer Record Review Results**	PIP Item	CY 2005-1	CY 2005-2	PIP QTR-3 CY 2005-3	PIP QTR-4 CY 2006-2	Q-1 Average Performance	Q-2 Average Performance	Q-3 Average Performance	Q-4 Average Performance	Baseline	Goal	
III-3. The needs of the family/child are identified.	17	90.3%	89.2%	90.1%	88.7%	91.3%	90.5%	90.2%	89.6%	91.0%	95.6%	
III-10. Rating for the overall quality of the comprehensive assessment.	17	84.0%	80.7%	80.6%	78.5%	83.2%	83.0%	82.0%	80.9%	82.1%	86.2%	
IV-8. The family participated in the development and signed the service plan.	18	77.1%	74.3%	73.9%	73.8%	77.7%	76.9%	75.8%	74.8%	74.1%	77.8%	

