PIP PERFORMANCE DATA - August - October 2005										
Outcome Report Measures*	PIP Item	FY 2005-2	FY 2005-3	FY 2005-4	PIP QTR-3 FY 2006-1	Q-3 Average Performance	Baseline	Goal		
# 1. Timeliness of initial child										
contact	1	79.1%	80.0%	81.9%	81.5%	80.6%	76.6%	80.4%		
# 3. Reduce reoccurrence of abuse (CA/N Recidisvism)	2	4.5%	5.5%	4.9%	4.8%	4.9%	8 3%	NCANDS GOAL OF 7.4%	Based on quarterly Outcome report performance not NCANDS formula	
#4. Reduce incidence of child abuse		4.070	0.070	4.070	4.070	4.070	0.070	11170	- I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	
in foster care (Goal achieved)	2	0.56%	0.53%	0.44%	0.30%	0.46%	0.37%	0.57%	Passed	
#10. Children returning home me by length of time till reunification	•	70.5%	05.00/	00.40/	07.00		50.00	AFCARS GOAL OF	Based on quarterly Outcome report performance not AFCARS	
#11 Children existing DES quetody	8	72.5%	65.6%	69.1%	67.0%	68.5%	59.8%	62.2%	formula	
#11. Children existing DFS custody to adoption by length of time till adoption # 12. Children with timely	9	37.7%	42.0%	36.8%	39.5%	38.8%	38.5%	32.0%	Passed	
completion of FSTM w/i 30 days and 6 months	26	62.1%	61.8%	61.4%	53.3%	59.6%	62.8%	69.0%		
#13A. Reduce the number of placements of children in foster care (Children in care less than 12 months)	6	73.2%	74.2%	74.5%	76.2%	74.5%	78.6%	AFCARS GOAL OF 80.5%	Based on quarterly Outcome report performance not AFCARS formula	
# 17. Increase the number of										
children placed with relative providers (LS-1)	14	23.7%	24.1%	24.2%	25.5%	24.4%	23.3%	25.6%		
#17. Increase the number of children placed with relative providers (LS 1-	17	23.1 /6	24.170	24.270	23.370	24.470	23.370	25.076		
4)	15	27.3%	28.1%	28.3%	27.3%	27.8%	25.9%	27.2%		
Peer Record Review Results**	PIP Item	CY 2004-4	CY 2005-1	CY 2005-2	PIP QTR-3 CY 2005-3	Q-3 Average Performance	Baseline	Goal		
III-3. The needs of the family/child are identified.	17	91.4%	90.3%	89.2%	90.1%	90.2%	91.0%	95.6%		
III-10. Rating for the overall quality	17	82.9%			80.6%	•				
of the comprehensive assessment.  IV-8. The family participated in the development and signed the service plan.	18	77.9%			73.9%					
IV-13. Services being provided to the family are adequate to meet their needs as identified in the assessment.	4	90.1%	90.8%	91.0%	88.4%	90.0%	89.4%	90.3%		
IV-14. The worker visits with the family (caretakers) at least one time per month.	20	85.9%	86.6%	84.9%	86.5%	86.0%	85.3%	89.5%		
IV-15. Rating for the overall quality of the service plan and service delivery process. V-1. Consideration was given to	3 17 25	79.3%	80.4%	79.2%	77.5%	79.1%	80.4%	84.4%		
relatives or kin for placement.	14 15	84.9%	86.0%	84.6%	82.6%	84.5%	83.0%	87.2%		
V-3. The child is placed in close proximity to their family.	16	89.5%			89.4%					
V-4. Siblings are placed together or there is ongoing visitation.	12	87.4%		,						

Peer Record Review Results**					000000				
	PIP Item	CV 2004 4	CV 2005-1	CY 2005-2	PIP QTR-3 CY 2005-3	Q-3 Average Performance	Pacalina	Goal	
V-12. The permanency plan has	ILCIII	C1 2004-4	C1 2003-1	C1 2003-2	C1 2003-3	renomiance	Daseille	Guai	
been developed and it included									
options for concurrent planning.	7	84.8%	83.9%	83.5%	85.3%	84.4%	85.9%	90.2%	
V-13. There is a current visitation									
plan in place to facilitate									
reunification.	13	89.2%	82.9%	85.5%	84.7%	85.4%	89.4%	90.3%	
V-14. TPR has occurred or been filed									
with then child has been in Out-of-									
Home Care for 15 of the most recent									
22 months, or compelling reasons									
are documented.									
	28	90.4%	84.3%	90.2%	88.7%	88.3%	83.4%	87.5%	
V-16. The worker visits the child					О				
twice every month.	19	77.2%	73.5%	77.6%	81.4%	77.4%	72.8%	76.4%	
V-17. The physical needs of the									
child are being met.	22	97.4%	96.7%	96.4%	96.5%	96.7%	96.9%	97.8%	
V-18. The child is at grade level and									
receiving appropriate educational									
services.	21	96.4%	95.7%	93.1%	95.8%	95.3%	95.6%	96.6%	
V-19. The mental health needs of the		0.000							
child are being met.	23	95.4%	96.9%	96.9%	95.8%	96.3%	96.4%	97.3%	
V-21. If the youth is 16 and over,									
they are or have participated in the									
ILP classes.	10	73.3%	73.0%	66.7%	65.4%	69.8%	63.3%	66.4%	
V-22. Youth 16 or older have an ILP									
plan documented in the case record									
(CS-1 Att.).	10	45.3%	52.6%	42.3%	55.1%	48.8%	63.6%	66.4%	
Permanency Hearings as of 10/31/05									
	27		86.5%	88.6%	90.2%	90.2%	86.3%	88.0%	
*Quarterly Outcome Reports are captured by									
state fiscal year.									
** Quarterly Peer Record Review Results are		T							
reported by calendar year.			***************************************						
Red = Performing below the baseline									
Green = Performing above baseline									
Purple = Performance exceeds goal									