PIP PERFORMANCE DATA - May - July 2005											
Outcome Report Measures*	PIP Item	FY 2005-1	FY 2005-2	FY 2005-3	PIP QTR-2 FY 2005-4	Q-2 Average Performance	Baseline	Goal			
# 1. Timeliness of initial child contact	1	76.4%	79.1%	80.0%	81.9%	79.4%	76.6%	80.4%			
# 3. Reduce reoccurrence of abuse (CA/N Recidisvism)								NCANDS GOAL OF	Based on quarterly Outcome report performance not NCANDS		
	2	5.5%	4.5%	5.5%	4.9%	5.1%	8.3%	7.4%	formula		
#4. Reduce incidence of child abuse in foster care (Goal achieved)	2	0.58%	0.56%	0.53%	0.44%	0.53%	0.37%	0.57%	Passed		
#10. Children returning home me by length of time till reunification	8	73.3%	72.5%	65.6%	69.1%	70.2%	59.8%	AFCARS GOAL OF 62.2%	Based on quarterly Outcome report performance not AFCARS formula		
#11. Children existing DFS custody to adoption by length of time till											
adoption # 12. Children with timely completion of FSTM w/i 30 days and	9	40.7%	37.7%	42.0%	36.8%	39.2%	38.5%	32.0%	Passed		
6 months	26	63.8%	62.1%	61.8%	61.4%	62.3%	62.8%	69.0%			
#13A. Reduce the number of placements of children in foster care (Children in care less than 12 months)	6	73.9%	73.2%	74.2%	74.5%	73.9%	78.6%	AFCARS GOAL OF 80.5%	Based on quarterly Outcome report performance not AFCARS formula		
# 17. Increase the number of children placed with relative providers (LS-1)	-					24.0%					
#17. Increase the number of children placed with relative providers (LS 1-	14	23.8%	23.7%	24.1%	24.2%	24.0%	23.3%	25.6%			
4)	15	27.0%	27.3%	28.1%	28.3%	27.7%	25.9%	27.2%			
			***************************************						***************************************		
Peer Record Review Results**	PIP Item	CY 2004-3	CY 2004-4	CY 2005-1	PIP QTR-2 CY 2005-2	Q-2 Average Performance	Baseline	Goal			
III-3. The needs of the family/child are identified.	17	91.1%	91.4%	90.3%	89.2%	90.5%	91.0%	95.6%			
III-10. Rating for the overall quality of the comprehensive assessment	17	84.5%	82.9%	84.0%	80.7%	83.0%	82.1%	86.2%			
IV-8. The family participated in the development and signed the service plan. IV-13. Services being provided to	18	78.1%	77.9%	77.1%	74.3%	76.9%	74.1%	77.8%			
the family are adequate to meet their needs as identified in the assessment.	4	90.6%	90.1%	90.8%	91.0%	90.6%	89.4%	90.3%			
IV-14. The worker visits with the family (caretakers) at least one time per month.	20	84.7%	85.9%	86.6%	84.9%	85.6%	85.3%	89.5%			
IV-15. Rating for the overall quality of the service plan and service delivery process.	3 17 25	81.3%	79.3%	80.4%	79.2%	80.0%	80.4%	84.4%			
V-1. Consideration was given to relatives or kin for placement. V-3. The child is placed in close	14 15	88.3%	84.9%	86.0%	84.6%	85.9%	83.0%	87.2%			
proximity to their family. V-4. Siblings are placed together or	16	91.5%	89.5%	89.8%	91.4%	90.5%	91.0%	91.9%			
there is ongoing visitation.	12	83.8%	87.4%	90.4%	88.3%	87.6%	85.6%	89.9%			

Peer Record Review Results**	PIP Item	CY 2004-3	CY 2004-4	CY 2005-1	PIP QTR-2 CY 2005-2	Q-2 Average Performance	Baseline	Goal	
V-12. The permanency plan has									
been developed and it included									
options for concurrent planning.	7	82.9%	84.8%	83.9%	83.5%	83.8%	85.9%	90.2%	
V-13. There is a current visitation									
plan in place to facilitate		-							
reunification.	13	84.6%	89.2%	82.9%	85.5%	85.4%	89.4%	90.3%	
V-14. TPR has occurred or been filed									
with then child has been in Out-of-		-							
Home Care for 15 of the most recent									
22 months, or compelling reasons									
are documented.									
	28	86.6%	90.4%	84.3%	90.2%	87.8%	83.4%	87.5%	
V-16. The worker visits the child									
twice every month.	19	75.8%	77.2%	73.5%	77.6%	76.0%	72.8%	76.4%	
V-17. The physical needs of the		7 0.0 70	11.270	7 3.3 70	77.070	70.070	72.070	70.470	
child are being met.	22	97.4%	97.4%	96.7%	96.4%	96.9%	96.9%	97.8%	
V-18. The child is at grade level and									
receiving appropriate educational									
services.	21	96.9%	96.4%	95.7%	93.1%	95.5%	95.6%	96.6%	
V-19. The mental health needs of the			***************************************						
child are being met.	23	96.6%	95.4%	96.9%	96.9%	96.5%	96.4%	97.3%	
V-21. If the youth is 16 and over,		30.070	00.470	30.370	30.376		30.470	37.070	
they are or have participated in the		Constant of the Constant of th							
ILP classes.	10	74.6%	73.3%	73.0%	66.7%	71.9%	63.3%	66.4%	
V-22. Youth 16 or older have an ILP	10	74.076	73.376	73.07	00.7 /6	71.970	03.376	00.4 /6	
plan documented in the case record									
(CS-1 Att.).	40	50.00/	45.00/	50.00/	40.00/	40.00/	60.60/	CC 40/	
` '	10	53.8%	45.3%	52.6%	42.3%	48.2%	63.6%	66.4%	
Permanency Hearings as of 7/31/05	27			86.5%	88.6%	88.6%	86.3%	88.0%	
				00.070	00.0%	00.0%	00.576	00.0 /0	
*Quarterly Outcome Reports are captured by									-
state fiscal year.									
** Quarterly Peer Record Review Results are									
reported by calendar year.									
Red = Performing below the baseline					<u> </u>				
Green = Performing above baseline	•								
Purple = Performance exceeds goal		100							