PIP PERFORMANCE DATA - February - April 2005										
Outcome Report Measures*	PIP Item	FY 2004-4	FY 2005-1	FY 2005-2	PIP QTR -1 FY 2005-3	Q-1 Average Performance	Baseline	Goal		
# 1. Timeliness of initial child contact	1	74.6%	76.4%	79.1%	80.0%	77.5%	76.6%	80.4%		
# 3. Reduce reoccurrence of abuse (CA/N Recidisvism)								NCANDS GOAL OF	Based on quarterly Outcome report performance not NCANDS	
#4 Dadwas insidense of shild shows	2	6.2%	5.5%	4.5%	5.5%	5.5%	8.3%	7.4%	formula	
#4. Reduce incidence of child abuse in foster care (Goal achieved)	2	0.68%	0.58%	0.56%	0.53%	0.59%	0.37%	0.57%	Passed	
#10. Children returning home me by length of time till reunification	8	74.2%	73.3%	72.5%	65.6%	71.5%	59.8%	AFCARS GOAL OF 62.2%	Based on quarterly Outcome report performance not AFCARS formula	
#11. Children existing DFS custody to adoption by length of time till adoption	9	39.7%	40.7%	37.7%	42.0%	39.8%	38.5%	32.0%	Passed	
# 12. Children with timely completion of FSTM w/i 30 days and 6 months	26	64.8%	63.8%	62.1%	61.8%	63.1%	62.8%	69.0%		
#13A. Reduce the number of placements of children in foster care (Children in care less than 12 months)	6	74.6%	73.9%	73.2%	74.2%	74.0%	78.6%	AFCARS GOAL OF 80.5%	Based on quarterly Outcome report performance not AFCARS formula	
# 17. Increase the number of children placed with relative providers (LS-1)	14	23.6%	23.8%	23.7%	24.1%	23.8%	23.3%	25.6%		
#17. Increase the number of children placed with relative providers (LS 1-4)	15	26.4%			28.1%	27.2%	25.9%			
Peer Record Review Results**	PIP	CY 2004-2		CY 2004-4	PIP QTR -1	Q-1 Average	Baseline	Goal		
III-3. The needs of the family/child										
are identified. III-10. Rating for the overall quality	17	92.2%	91.1%	91.4%	90.3%	91.3%	91.0%	95.6%		
of the comprehensive assessment IV-8. The family participated in the	17	81.7%	84.5%	82.9%	84.0%	83.2%	82.1%	86.2%		
development and signed the service plan.	18	77.6%	78.1%	77.9%	77.1%	77.7%	74.1%	77.8%		
IV-13. Services being provided to the family are adequate to meet their needs as identified in the assessment.	4	91.2%	90.6%	90.1%	90.8%	90.7%	89.4%	90.3%		
IV-14. The worker visits with the family (caretakers) at least one time per month.	20	89.8%			86.6%	86.8%				
IV-15. Rating for the overall quality of the service plan and service delivery process.	3 17 25	80.8%	81.3%	79.3%	80.4%	80.4%	80.4%	84.4%		
V-1. Consideration was given to relatives or kin for placement.	14 15	86.5%	88.3%	84.9%	86.0%	86.4%	83.0%	87.2%		
V-3. The child is placed in close proximity to their family.	16	88.9%			89.8%	89.9%				
V-4. Siblings are placed together or there is ongoing visitation.	12	85.6%	83.8%	87.4%	90.4%	86.9%	85.6%	89.9%		

Peer Record Review Results**	PIP Item	CY 2004-2	CY 2004-3	CY 2004-4	PIP QTR -1 CY 2005-1	Q-1 Average Performance	Baseline	Goal	
V-12. The permanency plan has									
been developed and it included									
options for concurrent planning.	7	86.3%	82.9%	84.8%	83.9%	84.5%	85.9%	90.2%	
V-13. There is a current visitation									
plan in place to facilitate								ana ana	
reunification.	13	82.3%	84.6%	89.2%	82.9%	84.6%	89.4%	90.3%	
V-14. TPR has occurred or been filed									
with then child has been in Out-of-									
Home Care for 15 of the most recent									
22 months, or compelling reasons									
are documented.									
The state of the s	28	86.5%	86.6%	90.4%	84.3%	86.8%	83.4%	87.5%	
V-16. The worker visits the child									
twice every month.	19	74.9%	75.8%	77.2%	73.5%	75.3%	72.8%	76.4%	
V-17. The physical needs of the									
child are being met.	22	98.5%	97.4%	97.4%	96.7%	97.5%	96.9%	97.8%	
V-18. The child is at grade level and									
receiving appropriate educational									
services.	21	96.0%	96.9%	96.4%	95.7%	96.2%	95.6%	96.6%	
V-19. The mental health needs of the								-	
child are being met.	23	95.6%	96.6%	95.4%	96.9%	96.1%	96.4%	97.3%	
V-21. If the youth is 16 and over,									
they are or have participated in the									
ILP classes.	10	58.6%	74.6%	73.3%	73.0%	70.3%	63.3%	66.4%	
V-22. Youth 16 or older have an ILP				,				-	
plan documented in the case record									
(CS-1 Att.).	10	47.9%	53.8%	45.3%	52.6%	49.5%	63.6%	66.4%	
Permanency Hearings as of 4/30/05								-	
j	27				86.5%	86.5%	86.3%	88.0%	
								-	
*Quarterly Outcome Reports are captured by state fiscal year.									
** Quarterly Peer Record Review Results are									
reported by calendar year.									
Red = Performing below the baseline									
Green = Performing above baseline	•		 						
Purple = Performance exceeds goal								and a second	